SPIN ASYMMETRIES FOR EXCLUSIVE AND SEMI-EXCLUSIVE REACTIONS WITH CLAS

A. BISELLI
FOR THE CLAS COLLABORATION

*Carnegie Mellon University,
5000 Forbes Ave,
Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA
E-mail: biselli@jlab.org*

An extensive experimental program to measure the spin structure of the nucleons is being carried out with the CLAS detector at Jefferson Lab using a longitudinally polarized electron beam incident on a longitudinally polarized target. Spin degrees of freedom offer new tools to explore the baryon structure and test the many theoretical approaches that attempt to characterize it, such as effective Lagrangian models and transverse momentum dependent parton distributions. I will present preliminary results for single and double spin asymmetries for exclusive π^0 , π^+ , and η electroproduction in the resonance region compared with unitary isobar and dynamical models, as well as ρ electroproduction for DIS kinematics. I will also report on an analysis of the semi-inclusive and exclusive channels where double and single spin asymmetries were used to study transverse momentum dependent parton distributions.

1. Introduction

In recent years the CLAS collaboration completed data taking for an experimental program dedicated to measuring inclusive, semi-exclusive, and exclusive reactions using longitudinally polarized electrons scattered off longitudinally polarized protons and deuterons. The experiments were conducted with the CLAS detector at Jefferson Lab. The running period for the experiment was split into two parts: the first part was completed in 1998 with a total of about 10^9 triggers at two beam energies, 2.5 and 4 GeV, leading to publications for both inclusive^{1,2} and exclusive channels^{3,4}. The second part of the run was conducted in 2000-2001 collecting a total of 2.3×10^{10} triggers at beam energies of 1.6, 2.4, 4.2, and 5.7 GeV.

Here I report on the on-going analyses of the 1.6 and 5.7 GeV data. In particular I will present preliminary results in the resonance region for the exclusive channels $\vec{e}\vec{p} \rightarrow e'p\pi^0$ and $\vec{e}\vec{p} \rightarrow e'p\eta$ and the results in the

DIS region for the exclusive reaction $\vec{e}\vec{p} \rightarrow e'p\rho$ and for the semi-exclusive channel $\vec{e}\vec{p} \rightarrow e'\pi^+X$.

2. The experiment

The data for this analysis were taken with the CLAS detector system⁵ in Hall B at Jefferson Laboratory in Newport News, VA. Since the CLAS detector uses a toroidal magnetic field, which is zero along the beam axis, it is possible to insert a polarized target into the detector. The target⁶, consisting of solid $^{15}\text{NH}_3$, was polarized using dynamic nuclear polarization and was immersed in a $T = 1\text{ K}$ ^4He cooling bath. The holding field of $B = 5\text{ T}$ had a very high uniformity of $\frac{dB}{B} = 10^{-4}$. With this setup, target polarizations of $P_t = +79\%$ and $P_t = -72\%$ were achieved. In addition to the $^{15}\text{NH}_3$ target a solid ^{12}C target and an empty target cell were used for background studies.

3. Exclusive channels

The exclusive cross-section for meson electroproduction can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega^*} = \frac{|\vec{k}|}{k_\gamma^{cm}} \left\{ \frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega^*} + h \frac{d\sigma_e}{d\Omega^*} + P_t \frac{d\sigma_t}{d\Omega^*} + hP_t \frac{d\sigma_{et}}{d\Omega^*} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where $d\Omega^* = \sin\theta^*d\theta^*d\phi^*$ is the solid angle of the meson in the hadronic center of mass (c.m.), $\vec{k}$ is the momentum of the meson, k_γ^{cm} is the real photon equivalent energy in the c.m. frame, h is the electron helicity and P_t is the target proton polarization. It is clear that by performing polarized beam and target experiments one can access the contributions to the cross section, $d\sigma_e/d\Omega^*$, $d\sigma_t/d\Omega^*$, and $d\sigma_{et}/d\Omega^*$, in addition to the well known unpolarized cross section $d\sigma_0/d\Omega^*$, thus increasing our understanding of resonance production and background reactions. To isolate these terms, one can combine the data to extract the asymmetries $A_t \equiv \frac{\sigma_t}{d\Omega^*} / \frac{\sigma_0}{d\Omega^*}$ and $A_{et} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{et}}{d\Omega^*} / \frac{\sigma_0}{d\Omega^*}$, which have the experimental advantage of being nearly independent of acceptance and detector efficiency as compared to a cross section measurement. Given the number of counts per charge for events in the four possible combinations of beam (i) and target (j) polarizations, N_{ij} , the experimental definition of the asymmetries are:

$$A_t = \frac{1}{P_t^b} \frac{(N_{\uparrow\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow\uparrow}) - (N_{\uparrow\downarrow} + N_{\downarrow\downarrow})}{(N_{\uparrow\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow\uparrow}) + \alpha(N_{\uparrow\downarrow} + N_{\downarrow\downarrow}) - 2(1 + \alpha)\frac{\sigma_0}{d\Omega^*}N} \quad (2)$$

$$A_{et} = \frac{1}{P_e P_t^b} \frac{-(N_{\uparrow\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow\uparrow}) + (N_{\uparrow\downarrow} - N_{\downarrow\downarrow})}{(N_{\uparrow\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow\uparrow}) + \alpha(N_{\uparrow\downarrow} + N_{\downarrow\downarrow}) - 2(1 + \alpha)\frac{\sigma_0}{d\Omega^*}N},$$

where $\frac{\sigma_0}{d\Omega^*}^N$ is the contribution from the scattering off ^{15}N nuclei and the liquid helium coolant, P_e is the beam polarization, P_t^a and P_t^b are the magnitudes of positive and negative target polarizations, respectively, and

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{P_t^a}{P_t^b}. \quad (3)$$

The contribution of the ^{15}N background was removed by using data from separate runs with a ^{12}C target. The products $P_e P_t^{a,b}$ were experimentally extracted using the well known asymmetry of the elastic reaction.

3.1. π^0 electroproduction

For the π^0 electroproduction analysis, the 1.6 GeV $\vec{p}(\vec{e}, e', p)\pi^0$ data were considered. The π^0 was identified with a missing mass cut. The target and double spin asymmetries as a function of the decay angles in the c.m. frame of the pion were extracted as in Eq. 2 in an invariant mass (W) range from 1.1 to 1.6 GeV/ c^2 , and momentum transfer (Q^2) range from 0.22 to 0.77 GeV $^2/c^2$. A sample of the results is shown in Fig 1. The results were tested against the Mainz unitary isobar model MAID⁷ and the dynamical model DMT⁸ in the whole W range. For W up to 1.3 GeV/ c^2 an additional comparison with the model by T. Sato and H. Lee^{9,10}, which is a model specific for the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance, was performed. All these models are effective field theories that give predictions on the polarized observables with the free parameters associated with the model constrained by fitting unpolarized cross section data.

To quantitatively determine the agreement between the data and the model, a simultaneous χ^2 comparison of all angular distributions for all Q^2 intervals was performed and the results are listed in Table 1. The χ^2 com-

Table 1. χ^2 per number of degrees of freedom comparison between data and the three theoretical models.

Model	A_t	A_{et}	A_t	A_{et}
	$W < 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ndf = 1440		$W > 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ndf = 1080	
MAID03 ⁷	1.89	1.05	1.14	1.46
SL ^{9,10}	1.02	1.09	-	-
DMT ⁸	2.27	1.04	1.61	1.02

parison in the $\Delta(1232)$ region ($W < 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$) shows overall agreement

between the data and the model predictions of the double spin asymmetries A_{et} , which are dominated by the $|M_{1+}|^2$ term. The models, however, differ in their predictions of the target asymmetries A_t , which are sensitive to interference of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance with background multipoles such as E_{0+} , S_{0+} , and S_{1-} . The χ^2 comparison also shows a preference for the Sato and Lee model in the $\Delta(1232)$ region. These results are consistent with the already published comparison in ref.³, but with much improved statistical accuracy. The χ^2 comparison for invariant masses above the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance, where uncertainties in the models are bigger due to the many overlapping resonances, show discrepancies in both the target and double spin asymmetries, but further work is needed to understand the sensitivity of the asymmetries to the different contributions.

Figure 1. Asymmetry A_{et} (top) and A_t (bottom) as a function of the c.m. polar angle of the pion, $\cos \theta^*$, for $-144.0^\circ < \phi^* < -108.0^\circ$, $0.223 < Q^2 < 0.379 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$ and for the $1.20 < W < 1.25 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ (left) and $1.4 < W < 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ (right) intervals. The curves represent the predictions from the MAID2003 model (solid), DMT (dotted), and the Sato-Lee model (dashed).

3.2. η electroproduction

For the η electroproduction analysis, data with beam energies of 1.6 GeV and 5.7 GeV were considered. The η was identified with a missing mass cut. The target and double spin asymmetries as a function of the decay angles in the c.m. frame of the η were extracted. Assuming $S_{11}(1535)$ dominance

and therefore dominance of the E_{0+} and L_{0+} multipoles, one can reduce the double spin asymmetry to first order to

$$A_{et} \sim (1 - \epsilon \frac{E}{E'}) \equiv D = const, \quad (4)$$

independent of θ^* and ϕ^* , where E is the beam energy, E' is the scattered electron energy, $\epsilon \equiv (1 + 2 \frac{|\vec{q}|^2}{Q^2} \tan^2 \frac{\theta_e}{2})^{-1}$, $\vec{q}$ is the momentum transfer three vector, and θ_e is the electron scattering angle. This constant value D corresponds to the depolarization of the virtual photon and $D \approx 0.75$ for $E=1.6$ GeV and ≈ 0.25 for $E=5.7$ GeV. The results for the 5.7 GeV data in Fig. 2 show a constant behavior consistent with $S_{11}(1535)$ dominance. The target asymmetry results shown in Fig. 3 are very close to zero, indicating a strong $S_{11}(1535)$ resonance relative to the background. This is in contrast to the π^0 results that show a large target asymmetry in the $\Delta(1232)$ region. Both A_{et} and A_t were compared to the Eta-MAID model¹¹ which gives predictions in good agreement with the data.

Figure 2. Asymmetry A_{et} as a function of the c.m. angle of the η , $\cos \theta^*$, for the 4 indicated W intervals with a beam energy of 5.7 GeV averaged over ϕ^* . The curves represent the predictions of the Eta-MAID model.

3.3. ρ electroproduction

The ρ electroproduction analysis was performed in the DIS region, $W > 1.8$ GeV/ c^2 and $Q^2 > 0.75$ GeV²/ c^2 , with the 5.7 GeV data. To select a region

Figure 3. Asymmetry A_t as a function of the c.m. azimuthal angle of the η , ϕ^* , for the 4 indicated W intervals with a beam energy of 1.6 GeV averaged over $\cos\theta^*$. The curves represent the predictions of the Eta-MAID model.

dominated by ρ production, the forward angle cut $t' < 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$ was applied. The reaction of interest for selecting the ρ is $\vec{e}\vec{p} \rightarrow ep\pi^+\pi^-$, but due to the limited acceptance, topologies with one missing particle were also considered. The ρ was then identified with a missing mass cut on the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass ($0.68 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < M_{\pi\pi} < 0.86 \text{ GeV}/c^2$). The double spin asymmetry, A_{ep} , as a function of the invariant mass W was extracted. By integrating over the ϕ^* angular distribution, A_{ep} can be reduced to the form

$$A_{ep} = D \frac{A_1 + \eta A_2}{1 + \epsilon R} \quad (5)$$

with $\eta \equiv \tan\theta_\gamma \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}$, where θ_γ is the virtual photon angle with respect the beam direction and $R \equiv \sigma_L/\sigma_T$. Using the HERMES parameterization¹² $R = 0.35(Q^2/0.59)^{0.62}$ and neglecting A_2 , the asymmetry A_1 was calculated for different Q^2 values as shown in Fig. 4. The results were compared to the Reggeon exchange model by Kochelev¹³ and the Generalized Vector Meson Dominance model by Fraas¹⁴. The data lie below the models, although the W range might be too low for the models to be reliable. The asymmetry was also measured by HERMES at higher Q^2 and W and was found consistent with the models, but still very close to zero within the error bars¹².

Figure 4. Asymmetry A_1 for $\bar{e}p \rightarrow ep\rho$ as a function of W averaged over $0 < t' < 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2$. The solid curves are from Kochelev and the dashed curves are from Fraas. The data points increase with Q^2 from left to right within each W bin, and the dashed curves increase with Q^2 from bottom to top.

4. Semi-exclusive π^+ electroproduction

The semi-exclusive analysis $\bar{e}p \rightarrow e\pi^+X$ was performed using the 5.7 GeV data, selecting the DIS region, where it is possible to interpret the results in terms of Generalized Parton Distributions and fragmentation functions^{15,16}. In this framework one can factorize the cross section into distribution functions, which describe the quark scattering part, and fragmentation functions which describe the probability of a quark with a certain flavor to produce the final state hadron. The unpolarized cross section can be written as:

$$\sigma_{UU} \propto (2 - 2y + y^2) \sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_1^q(z), \quad (6)$$

where $x = Q^2/2M\nu$, $y = \nu/E$, and $z = E_h/\nu$. $\nu = E - E'$ is the virtual photon energy, E and E' are the initial and final electron energies, and E_h is the final hadron energy. The sum $\sum_{q,\bar{q}}$ is over quark flavors, y and z are the fraction of electron energy carried by the virtual photon and the fraction of the virtual photon energy carried by the pion, respectively. The $f_1^q(x)$ and $D_1^q(z)$ are the unpolarized distribution and fragmentation functions. In a similar way the double polarization cross section can be written as:

$$\sigma_{LL} \propto \lambda S_L y (2 - y) \sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 g_1^q(x) D_1^q(z). \quad (7)$$

Assuming u quark dominance the double spin asymmetry can be simply written as:

$$A_{et} \sim DA_1 \sim \frac{g_1^u(x)}{f_1^u(x)} \quad (8)$$

Fig. 5(a) shows the double spin asymmetry calculated for different z values and demonstrates, within statistical errors, consistency with the factorization. The results were also compared with the World inclusive data fit $A_1 \propto x^{0.727}$ with good agreement. The data were also used to extract the target asymmetry. In the case of a polarized target, the cross section has the following additional terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi} &\propto S_L 2(1-y) \sin 2\phi \sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 x h_{1L}^{\perp q}(x) H_1^{\perp q}(z), \\ \sigma_{UT}^{\sin \phi} &\propto S_T (1-y) \sin(\phi + \phi_S) \sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 x h_1^q(x) H_1^{\perp q}(z), \\ &\quad + S_T (1-y + y^2/2) \sin(\phi - \phi_S) \sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 x f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x) D_1^q(z), \\ \sigma_{UL}^{\sin \phi} &\propto S_L \sin \phi (2-y) \sqrt{1-y} \frac{M}{Q} \sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 x^2 h_L^q(x) H_1^{\perp q}(z), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where S_L and S_T are the longitudinal and transverse components of the target polarization with respect to the direction of the virtual photon, ϕ is the azimuthal angle between the scattering plane and the production plane ^a, and ϕ_S is the azimuthal angle of the transverse spin in the scattering plane. The Collins fragmentation functions $H_1^{\perp q}(z)$ appear with a unique $\sin 2\phi$ factor in the cross section, so a measurement of non-zero $\sin 2\phi$ dependence would be a clear signature of the Collins mechanism¹⁷. To isolate the $\sin 2\phi$ or $\sin \phi$ one can calculate the average

$$A_{UL}^{W(\phi)} = \frac{\int \sigma_{UL}(\phi) W(\phi) d\phi}{\int \sigma(\phi) d\phi}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$W(\phi) = \sin(\phi) \text{ or } W(\phi) = \sin(2\phi), \quad (11)$$

^aThe scattering plane is formed by the initial and final momenta of the electron, and the production plane by the transverse momentum of the observed hadron and the virtual photon.

which experimentally translates into:

$$A_{UL}^{W(\phi)} = \frac{2}{P^\pm N^\pm} \sum_{i=1}^{N^\pm} W(\phi_i). \quad (12)$$

Results for the moments are shown in Fig. 5(b) and indicate the first observation of a non-zero $\sin 2\phi$ moment. The results for the $\sin\phi$ moment show consistency with the previous measurement from the HERMES experiment¹⁸ at 27.5 GeV beam energy, and therefore indicate that the observables do not depend on the beam energy.

Figure 5. (a) Double spin asymmetry as a function of x for different z . (b) $A_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)}$ (circle) and $A_{UL}^{\sin(2\phi)}$ (squares) as a function of z . Data are compared with 4.3 GeV JLab data (filled star) and HERMES data at 27 GeV (open stars)

5. Outlook

Target and double spin asymmetries for several exclusive and semi-exclusive channels in the resonance and the DIS regions were measured. High statistical samples allow us to extract asymmetries for several W and Q^2 intervals to gain new knowledge about resonance and background contributions. The semi-exclusive channels also allow us to verify factorization and to investigate various terms introduced in the theoretical framework of the transverse momentum dependent parton distribution contributing to the cross section.

References

1. R. Fatemi *et al.* [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 222002 (2003)
2. J. Yun *et al.* [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **67**, 055204 (2003)
3. A. Biselli *et al.* [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **68**, 035202 (2003)
4. R. De Vita *et al.* [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 082001 (2002)
5. B. A. Mecking *et al.* [CLAS Collaboration], Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **503**, 513 (2003).
6. C. D. Keith *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **501**, 327 (2003).
7. D. Drechsel, O. Hanstein, S.S. Kamalov and L. Tiator, Nucl. Phys. **A645**, 145 (1999)
8. S. S. Kamalov, G. Y. Chen, S. N. Yang, D. Drechsel and L. Tiator, Lett. B **522**, 27 (2001)
9. T. Sato and T.S. Lee, Phys. Rev. **C54**, 2660 (1996)
10. H. Lee, Nucl. Phys. **A513** (1990) 511
11. W. T. Chiang, S. N. Yang, L. Tiator and D. Drechsel, Nucl. Phys. A **700**, 429 (2002)
12. A. Airapetian *et al.* [HERMES Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **29**, 171 (2003)
13. N. I. Kochelev, D. P. Min, Y. s. Oh, V. Vento and A. V. Vinnikov, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 094008 (2000)
14. H. Fraas, Nucl. Phys. B **113**, 532 (1976).
15. P. J. Mulders and R. D. Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B **461**, 197 (1996)
16. D. Boer and P. J. Mulders, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 5780 (1998)
17. J. C. Collins, Nucl. Phys. B **396**, 161 (1993)
18. A. Airapetyan *et al.* [HERMES collaboration] , Phys.Rev.Lett. **84**, 4047 (2000).